

## M403 Homework 11

**Enrique Areyan**  
**November 14, 2012**

- (2.21) (i) **False.** Let  $n = 3$ . Then  $|S_3| = 3! = 6 > 3 = n$ .  
(ii) **True.** We can write  $\sigma$  as a product of cycles. Then  $n = \text{lcm}$  of the lengths of all cycles.  
(iii) **True.** This is the standard notation of composition of permutations as product.  
(iv) **False.** Let  $\alpha = (3\ 4) \in S_4$  and  $\beta = (4\ 2) \in S_4$ . Then

$$\alpha\beta = (3\ 4)(4\ 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which is not the same as:

$$\beta\alpha = (4\ 2)(3\ 4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (v) **False.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be as before. Both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are 2-cycles. But:

$$\beta\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (2\ 3\ 4)$$

Which is a 3-cycle.

- (vi) **True.** Consequence of proposition 2.33.

- (x) **False.** Let  $\sigma = (1\ 2) \in S_4$ . Then,  $\sigma^{-1} = (2\ 1) \in S_4$ .  
Let  $\omega = (3\ 4) \in S_4$ . Then,  $\omega^{-1} = (4\ 3) \in S_4$ . Let  $\alpha = (3\ 4) \in S_4$ . Then  $\sigma \neq \omega$ , but

$$\sigma\alpha\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\omega\alpha\omega^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (2.22) Let  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1\ 9)(8\ 2)(3\ 7)(4\ 6)(5)$ . The inverse is:  
 $\alpha^{-1} = (5)(6\ 4)(7\ 3)(2\ 8)(1\ 9)$ . We can verify this:

$$\alpha\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 9 & 8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (2.24) (i) Let  $1 < r \leq n$ . An  $r$ -cycle is of the form  $(\sigma(1)\ \sigma(2)\ \cdots\ \sigma(r))$ . There are  $n$  choices for  $\sigma(1)$ ,  $n-1$  choices for  $\sigma(2)$ , ..., and finally  $n-r+1$  choices for  $\sigma(r)$ . By the rule of product, there are  $n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)$  total choices. However, we regard circular orders as being the same so we must divide this expression by  $r$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{r}[n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)]$  is the total number of  $r$ -cycles in  $S_n$ .  
(ii) The proof is by induction. Consider the following statement  $S(k)$ : the number of permutations  $\alpha \in S_n$ , where  $\alpha$  is a product of  $k$  disjoint  $r$ -cycles is  $\frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{r^k} [n(n-1)\cdots(n-r+1)]$ .

Base Case:  $S(1)$  is true since we proved it in (i).

Inductive Step: Assume  $S(k-1)$  is true. We want to show that  $S(k)$  is true. Let  $\alpha \in S_n$  be a product of  $k$  disjoint  $r$ -cycles. Then, we can write alpha as  $\alpha = \sigma\beta$ , where  $\sigma$  is a product of  $k-1$  disjoint cycles and  $\beta$  is an  $r$ -cycle. Then, we have  $n-r(k-1)$  choices for  $\beta$ , but we need to divide by  $k$  to account for linear combinations. Hence, the total number of permutations of products of  $k$  disjoint  $r$ -cycles is:

$$\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{(n-r(k-1))!}{(n-kr)!} \right) \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{1}{r^{k-1}} \frac{n!}{(n-(k-1)r)!} = S(k)$$

(2.25) (i) Let  $\alpha$  be an  $r$ -cycle. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha &= (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) && \text{By definition} \\
 \alpha^r &= [ (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) ]^r && \text{Raising } \alpha \text{ to the } r \text{ power.} \\
 &= (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) \cdots (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) && \text{By definition of exponentiation.} \\
 &= (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) \cdots (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) [(i_r \ i_1 \ \cdots \ i_{r-1})] && \text{Operating the last two terms} \\
 &\vdots \\
 &= (i_1 \ i_2 \ \cdots \ i_r) (i_r \ i_{r-1} \ \cdots \ i_1) && \text{Operating } r-1 \text{ terms} \\
 &= (1) && \text{By definition of inverse}
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) It follows from the previous proof that if  $\alpha$  is an  $r$ -cycle, any positive integer  $k < r$  is such that  $\alpha^k \neq (1)$  and  $\alpha^r = (1)$ . Hence,  $r$  is the least positive integer such that  $\alpha^r = (1)$

(2.33) Let  $\alpha = (1 \ 2), \beta = (3 \ 4), \gamma = (3 \ 5) \in S_5$  none of which is the identity and, since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are disjoint transpositions, we have that:

$$\alpha\beta = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4) = (3 \ 4)(1 \ 2) = \beta\alpha$$

$$\alpha\gamma = (1 \ 2)(3 \ 4) = (3 \ 4)(1 \ 2) = \beta\alpha$$

But,

$$\beta\gamma = (3 \ 4)(3 \ 5) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \neq$$

$$\gamma\beta = (3 \ 5)(3 \ 4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

I)  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 5 & 6 & 8 & 7 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 5 \ 2 \ 6)(3 \ 8)(4 \ 7) \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = (7 \ 4)(8 \ 3)(6 \ 2 \ 5 \ 1).$

In double-row notation:  $\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 6 & 5 & 8 & 7 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$

II)  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 8 & 7 & 5 & 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 3 \ 2)(4 \ 8 \ 6 \ 5 \ 7) \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = (7 \ 5 \ 6 \ 8 \ 4)(2 \ 3 \ 1).$

In double-row notation:  $\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 8 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$

III)  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8) \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = (8 \ 7 \ 6 \ 5 \ 4 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1).$

In double-row notation:  $\alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$

IV)  $\alpha = (1 \ 2)(2 \ 3)(3 \ 4)(4 \ 5)(5 \ 6) \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = (6 \ 5)(5 \ 4)(4 \ 3)(3 \ 2)(2 \ 1)$

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

V)  $\alpha = (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5)(5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8) \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = (8 \ 7 \ 6 \ 5)(5 \ 4 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1)$

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 1 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 8 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

VI)  $\alpha = (1 \ 5 \ 9)(2 \ 6 \ 10)(4) \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = (4)(10 \ 6 \ 2)(9 \ 5 \ 1)$

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 5 & 6 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 10 & 7 & 8 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \alpha^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 9 & 10 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 7 & 8 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$